

## The danger of "Progressive" Ideology

Written by David Allinson

Friday, 12 November 2010 07:23 -

---

Two years ago Glenn Beck, a FOX television host, began to reveal the dangers of the Progressive platform. Until that time most Americans had no idea what Progressivism was or, even, that an ideology so viciously opposed to moral ideals had gained so firm a hold on the world. Largely through Beck's efforts, and his ongoing confirmed revelations that the Progressive ideology was on the verge of collapsing America, the Tea Party was formed.

Ignorance of the Progressive movement is not a sign of neglect or indifference: The progressive agenda, a well defined propaganda scheme, admonished progressives to 'fly beneath the radar' so that their efforts would not be revealed to the world – Especially the Conservative world. Beck's earliest struggles to reveal the Progressive doctrine to the world resembled those of a sea captain who knows where he wants to go but is uncertain which route he should take to get there. One of the difficulties he struggled with is common to us all: Avoiding accusations of racism. Beck's arrival, and his disturbing revelations, was met with a firestorm of contempt from Progressives.

Two-plus years ago we were among the first to provide Beck with information relating to the close ties between the Black Nationalist movement and Progressives. By then, we had developed a course of action that provided some insulation from accusations of racism: We addressed 'culture' rather than 'race'. Thus, the anti-white doctrine of Black Nationalism, for instance, could be exposed using differences in cultural values rather than differences in race as the conduit to convey information. Eventually, we learned we could not avoid accusations of racism – no matter what platform we used – precisely because those accusations are quintessential to the propaganda machine used by Progressives.

What is Progressivism? Progressivism views the world as existing in a state of perpetual conflict: Rich against poor; oppressed against oppressor; slave against master; colonialist against the colonized. These 'dichotomies' create the fuel necessary to enflame individuals and groups who perceive themselves to be 'powerless, oppressed, victimized'. This platform thus creates the necessity of a 'savior' – something or someone to intervene on behalf of the 'powerless victims'. That 'savior' is the government and men like Mandela, Clinton, Obama, Chavez and Ahmadinejad among a few.

By exploiting laws and other liberal government nuances, Progressives were able to create programs almost to the exclusive benefit of the 'oppressed masses': Welfare programs; educational programs pandering to unique deficits in 'oppressed' communities; laws specifically impinging on employment; housing vouchers; health care; food stamps and other 'necessities' were created to intervene on behalf of the 'oppressed masses' in the battle between the 'haves' and the 'have-nots'. The ideology has led to the creation of a 'we verse them' universal attitude.

For Progressivism to survive, there must at all times be a demon. Using Marxist tactics and employing propaganda techniques perfected by Bernays, Progressives began to make viable changes in government posture and cultural identity. Progressivism is a hybrid form of Communism coupled with themes of 'social justice', 'economic justice', 'racial justice' and, today, 'environmental justice'. The brunt of the theme, again, is the perpetuation of an

## The danger of "Progressive" Ideology

Written by David Allinson

Friday, 12 November 2010 07:23 -

---

'oppressed – oppressor' relationship between the 'haves and the have-nots' – "We verse them".

The flaws in the Progressive ideology are multitudinous. There is rarely any mention of individual responsibility for the conditions the 'oppressed' live in. Again, those conditions are always, ALWAYS, the fault of some external force, typically colonialist oppressors'. Another dangerous flaw is the necessity of demonizing the 'oppressor'; this behavior engenders genocidal characteristics. Unionization and other 'mass identity' characteristics force Progressives to let loose of their individual identities - Just as Mao's 'collectives' forced the Chinese to explicitly follow Little Red Book rules or perish. Thus far, Progressives have rarely used force upon one another to gain adherence, due to the well-packaged propaganda of the benefits of solidarity. The presence of wealthy Progressives is another paradoxical, gross flaw that frequently accompanies blind adherence to insane doctrines.

During its earliest years, the Progressive movement was largely dominated by idealistic white Liberals whose fascination with Communism and disdain for Capitalism drove them to embark on a program to overthrow Capitalistic nations. These Progressives understood that the destruction of Capitalism would require that subversive forces must be surreptitiously placed in critical areas of government and business to affect the desired changes. Another imposing obstacle was the necessity of causing cultural and civil disruption that would continually force governments to respond with expensive social programs; those programs, Progressives rightly believed, would eventually cause the bankruptcy then collapse of Capitalistic governments.

Blacks and other minorities became prime targets for the Progressive movement, as many black groups already existed that were oppositional to Capitalism and other forms of 'oppression'; the marriage of Black Nationalism with the Progressive movement was inevitable. The dangers inherent in this marriage are now readily seen in South Africa where the stage has been set for any number of calamitous events to occur.

Progressive ideology has not remained static; it has evolved over the years, its broadening appeal especially contagious to young people. The Progressive message gains broader appeal by employing 'colonialism' with issues of 'oppression'. Obviously, 'colonialists' are defined as 'white Europeans'. The context of this doctrine, then, rationalizes the necessity of confiscating 'white wealth' - "By any means necessary". The intensification of this doctrine, coupled with resentments for whites, has resulted in violence against 'colonizers'; this violence is rationalized as a necessary means to an end: The destruction of Capitalism leading to the complete freedom of the 'oppressed masses'. Although Progressivism, by definition, is 'color blind', the underlying paradigm that flows from the necessity of establishing an 'oppressed – oppressor' relationship is very obviously dangerous to 'white devils'.

To a certain extent, blacks have been employed as a sub-ideology force whose resentments and anger towards colonizers can be employed to affect changes the government is incapable of making. Violent confiscation of white-owned land in Zimbabwe by roving bands of unidentifiable blacks, for instance, insulated the Zimbabwe government and the Progressive movement from accusations of thievery, inverse-oppression and, more importantly, hatred for the 'white devil'. Using blacks as mercenaries to put the final touches on the Progressive

## The danger of "Progressive" Ideology

Written by David Allinson

Friday, 12 November 2010 07:23 -

---

program of the confiscation and redistribution of 'white wealth', insulates Progressive leaders from the liability of appearing to be just as bad, just as vicious, just as selfish as the 'oppressors' they seek to destroy.

The methodology used by Progressives to exact carnage upon colonizers and other oppositional forces include a carefully honed set of principles and behaviors that Beck and others are now revealing. The Progressive ideology has infiltrated all levels of the educational system and in so doing has captured the ideological essence of more than two generations of students and educators. Subtle disregard for historical truths, indoctrination of nebulous ideas such as 'racial fairness', 'social justice' and, most recently, 'environmental justice' alter the emotional-psychological perspective of vulnerable children who do not understand the full consequences of living in a Progressive world. Funded by wealthy whites, Liberal groups and other quasi-cultural organisms, the effects of the indoctrination of young minds upon the continued survival of the sustainability of governments whose ideological reference depends upon the concept of personal responsibility and rule of law is now being challenged.

Obama's success is a near perfect example of the means and methods Progressives employ. During the presidential primaries, Obama alluded to his "Progressive" ideology; at that time most Americans interpreted "Progressive" to mean "change" or "improvement". As Glenn Beck and other enlightened researchers have found, and as America is now learning, the Progressive agenda is a lop-sided thrust towards the economic enslavement of responsible citizens – typically white colonizers.

In December Julius Malema and the ANCYL will host the international young Progressive conference in South Africa. Malema recently spent time in Venezuela augmenting his Progressive underpinnings with broader messages of contained violence and implementation of fear to control white colonizers. The ante in South Africa is being increased however, as ANCYL members are now being given military training. Zuma, Obama, Clinton, McCain, Carter, Mandela and countless members of the US and South African government are Progressives. The thrust of their power is almost – almost – unlimited. White South Africans must not rely upon the United States to dampen or halt Progressive South Africans – In fact, the world-wide Progressive movement is certain to benefit from the confiscation of mines and other economically enriched elements white South Africans precariously maintain. Once those entities are in the hands of Progressives they will be able to dole out parcels of aid to the 'oppressed masses' in just the right quantities to quell discontent within the masses. Hugo Chavez' recent nationalization of a number of American owned companies is typical Progressive behavior.

Colonizers face multiple challenges. Among those challenges is the slow but certain disenfranchisement of control of their destiny. Economic erosion is but a small component of the Progressive agenda and, certainly, insufficient to quell anger directed at the 'oppressor' by the 'oppressed'. The historical process of appeasement and compromise used by oppressors to soften demands coming from 'victims' were only effective as long as the oppressor had control of the means of production and economic enrichment. As one government after another move closer to economic insolvency, appeasement will no longer be an option to quell victim rage - Much of that anger is likely to resolve itself through violence specifically directed at 'oppressors'.

## The danger of "Progressive" Ideology

Written by David Allinson

Friday, 12 November 2010 07:23 -

---

During a recent telephone conversation with a friend in England I asked him what he knew of Glenn Beck. "I hear he's crazy," my friend responded. I expected this attitude as it reflects the massively effective under-thrust of the Progressive propaganda machine.

Oppressors have typically been given two choices: Tolerate the Progressive agenda or risk being labeled a 'racist', 'neo-colonialist', 'oppressor' or any number of other monikers Progressives employs to exterminate those elements of truth that would reveal their objectives: White 'colonialists' are particularly sensitive to accusations of racism because whites have inculcated the Progressive concept that 'success' and 'excellence' are 'evil'. White South Africans, particularly, operate under a barrage of complaints from black, Progressive operatives who argue the state of their 'tragic' lives is the direct consequence of the effects of Apartheid. White Americans are subjected to similar accusations, including the lingering effects of slavery, continued racism and a host of other 'uniquely-white' behaviors.

Regardless of the consequences the Progressive agenda has upon whites, including annihilation, whites expend more energy seeking to diminish or avoid accusations of racism than resisting acts of violence and aggression perpetrated against them. This behavior, too, is attributable to the remarkable efforts of Progressives to employ the methodology of Edward Bernays, Anna Freud and others to brainwash the colonizer population into believing the concept of 'justice' and 'fairness' is unique to Progressives and alien to whites. This amazing, self-destructive behavior offers insight into the frail nature of the human psyche and, possibly, the need to re-prioritize Maslow's hierarchy of needs.

It is critical for 'oppressors' of all races to understand the onslaught being launched against them will not go away. The Progressive agenda is a powerful, appealing force to classes of people of all races and creeds who believe they are 'victims'. Palestinians, Mexicans, blacks, Muslims are but a few of the groups who now employ Progressive manipulation, rather than truth, to diminish the viability of those people and groups they deem to interfere with their objectives. More than a few of us are in danger; more than a few of us are awakening to the formidable task of revealing then resisting the Progressive agenda.

From this author's perspective, many of the world's current conditions - economic, societal, religious, cultural and ideological – are being used to weaken and divide 'nations of conscience'. Our only choice, though seemingly impossible at this juncture, is to present formidable resistance to the Progressive agenda using vehicles such as the Tea Party to attempt to alter the direction our governments are headed. I do not believe those efforts will stop Progressives from achieving their final outcome but it will give some of us the time needed to formalize absolute physical resistance to our own demise when the hour comes the swell of Progressive madness can only be quelled by the extermination of the remnants of colonialism and all members of that class of human beings who share a vicarious liability, in Progressive minds, for the state of their pathetic, self-destructive lives.

<http://media.pfaw.org/pdf/cav/aareport.pdf>

<http://www.historyisaweapon.com/defcon1/bernprop.html>

<http://www.progressiveliving.org/progressivism.htm>

## The danger of "Progressive" Ideology

Written by David Allinson

Friday, 12 November 2010 07:23 -

---

<http://www.zimeye.org/?p=21430>

<http://caps.fool.com/blogs/mencius-moldbug-on/48524>

<http://www.abahlali.org/node/7438>

<http://www.hartford-hwp.com/archives/45a/662.html>

<http://panafricannews.blogspot.com/2010/09/us-progressives-meet-with-iranian.html>

[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maslow's\\_hierarchy\\_of\\_needs](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Maslow's_hierarchy_of_needs)

[http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\\_m0SAF/is\\_1\\_30/ai\\_n16069007/](http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_m0SAF/is_1_30/ai_n16069007/)

<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,587671,00.html>

<http://www.colorofcrime.com/colorofcrime2005.html>